

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 08/07/2003 Kevin William Orth 1440-0003.01 1011 10/636,049 EXAMINER 26568 07/28/2004 COOK, ALEX, MCFARRON, MANZO, CUMMINGS & MEHLER LTD NGO, LIEN M **SUITE 2850** ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 200 WEST ADAMS STREET CHICAGO, IL 60606 3727

DATE MAILED: 07/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	10/636,049	ORTH ET AL.	6.4
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	LIEN TM NGO	3727	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 August 2003</u> .			
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims			
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>			
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5)  Notice of Informal F	ate	O-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/17/04, 4/8/04</u> . 6)  Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/636,049 Page 2

Art Unit: 3727

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Objections

1. Claims 5 and 6 are objected to because of the following informalities: in claim 5, "frangible members" should be – frangible connectors--, and in claim 5, -- the—should be inserted before "terminal skirt". Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 8, "said downwardly ... surfaces" lack antecedent basis.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Breuer (5,913,436). Breuer discloses, in figs. 1 and 2, a plastic cap comprising a tamper evident band 11, a plurality of frangible connectors 10, a top surface of the band is downwardly tapered in the direction of the band outer surface, an inwardly bead 12 on

Application/Control Number: 10/636,049 Page 3

Art Unit: 3727

the band inner surface, and a notch on the outer surface of the band relative to the bead.

6. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Carvalheiro et al. (5,402,901). Carvalheiro et al. discloses, in figs. 1 and 2, a closure comprising a tamper evident band 2, a plurality of frangible connectors 120, a top surface of the band is downwardly tapered in the direction of the band outer surface, an inwardly bead 20 on the band inner surface, and a notch on the outer surface of the band relative to the bead.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 14-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carvalheiro et al. Carvalheiro et al does not disclose the closure comprising copolymer material as claimed. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the Carvalheiro et al closure with copolymer material as claimed, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of it suitability for the intended use as matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.
- 9. Claims 16-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Breure. Breuer does not disclose the plastic of the cap comprising copolymer material

Application/Control Number: 10/636,049 Page 4

Art Unit: 3727

as claimed. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the Breure cap with copolymer material as claimed, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of it suitability for the intended use as matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Winchell et al., Choksi, and Chartier et al. teach closure caps comprising copolymer of polyolefin.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LIEN TM NGO whose telephone number is 703-305-0294. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 AM -6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, LEE YOUNG can be reached on 703-308-2572. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/636,049

Art Unit: 3727

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lien Ngo

July 23, 2004